

PRESENTER: DORCAH ASIAGO

Background

Quality of secondary school education in sub sahara Africa is notably low as compared to other parts of the world (Veerspoor, 2008; Lewin, 2008; World bank, 2007; 2008)

indicators

- Low enrollment
- Low completion rates(only a third of a cohort complete)
- Poor academic performance
- Limited subjects and learning activities(Veerspoor 2008, Lewin 2008)

Quality of education in developing countries is largely affected by school related factors than socio-economic factors (world bank 2008)

To what extent do school factors influence quality of education in Kenya?

<u>Objectives</u>

To establish the extent to which school physical facilities influence KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

To find out the extent to which teacher motivation influences KCSE performance in Kenya

Objectives continued

To establish the extent to which school financial resources influence KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

 To find out the extent to which teaching and learning resources influence KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

Hypotheses

There is no significant relationship between school physical facilities and KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

 There is no significant relationship between teacher motivation and KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

Hypotheses continued

There is no significant relationship between school financial resources and KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya

There is no significant relationship between teaching and learning resources and KCSE mean score in public secondary schools in Kenya



Cross sectional research survey design

Sample size

Count y	Population (N)			Sample (n)		
	Schools	Principal s	Teachers	Schools	Principals	Teachers
Kitui	364	364	3991	121	121	171
Kisii	336	336	3072	111	111	131
Nairo bi	83	83	1554	28	28	66
	783	783	8617	260	260	368

FINDINGS

HO1:physical facilities and KCSE mean score-by regression analysis

- Y=2.448+1.03xphysical facilities
- Pvalue=0.001 (i.e less than 0.05)
- R2=0.145
- We reject the null hypothesis

Ho2: teacher motivation and KCSE mean score

- Y=3.38+0.621xteacher motivation
- P value<0.001 (less than 0.05)
- R2=0.065
- We reject the null hypothesis

HO3:teacher motivation and KCSE mean score

- Y=2.04+1.82x school financial resources
- Pvalue=0.001 (less than 0.05)
- R2=0.111
- We reject the null hypothesis

Ho4: Teaching and learning resources and KCSE mean score

- Y=2.631+0.836 X teaching and learning resources
- P value<0.001 (less than 0.05)
- R2=0.115
- We reject the null hypothesis

CONCLUSION

Physical facilities predict KCSE mea score at 14.5 percent

- Teacher motivation predict KCSE mean score at 6.5 percent
- School financial resources predict KCSE mean score at 11.1percent
- Teaching and learning resources predict KCSE mean score at 11.5 percent

Recommendations

- The government to fully take up responsibility of providing school physical facilities
- Structured teacher motivation incentives system by TSC
- Increased secondary school financial allocations (including boarding)