

Increasing Childhood Immunization in Low- and Middle-Income Countries:

DEMAND-SIDE APPROACHES

MARCH 2025



..... CREDITS | PHOTO: KATE HOLT/MCSP

Childhood immunization¹ is one of the most successful and cost-effective public health interventions to date, preventing an estimated 2 to 3 million deaths every year² and severe morbidity for millions more children from devastating diseases such as polio and the hepatitis B virus.³ Although there have been substantial gains in childhood immunization globally, coverage still lags in many countries, leaving millions vulnerable to disease.⁴ A particular challenge is on the demand side—even when vaccines are available, many people don't accept or get them (uptake). Demand-side interventions target the

barriers to acceptance and uptake, such as lack of awareness about the schedule and benefits, low prioritization of immunization, financial obstacles, or distrust in immunization. These interventions will only move the needle in contexts where the vaccine supply chain and health services are not primary barriers to vaccination. In this brief, Innovations for Poverty Action presents rigorous evidence on demand-side interventions, with a particular focus on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) aimed at increasing child immunization in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

Key Lessons:

1. Mobile phone reminders may improve complete and timely immunization, though their effectiveness may be limited in less-connected populations.
2. Wearable reminders are likely insufficient for improving childhood immunization.
3. Immunization education may improve immunization rates.
4. Immunization education delivered through community social networks and leaders may be especially effective in improving immunization uptake in some contexts.
5. Financial and in-kind incentives may improve immunization outcomes but results vary by design and context.
6. Social signals that allow caregivers to share their child's vaccination status may improve timely and complete immunization.



CREDITS | DOMINIC CHAVEZ/WORLD BANK

1. MOBILE PHONE REMINDERS MAY IMPROVE COMPLETE AND TIMELY IMMUNIZATION, THOUGH THEIR EFFECTIVENESS MAY BE LIMITED IN LESS-CONNECTED POPULATIONS⁵

Immunization is most effective when children complete the full schedule in a timely manner, but keeping track of when a child is eligible for a subsequent dose can be challenging for caregivers. Reminder interventions delivered through phone calls, text messages or app-based solutions alert caregivers when their child is due or overdue for vaccination.

A 2025 review found that text or phone call reminders significantly increased vaccination coverage.⁶ A 2021 meta-analysis of 12 studies found that text message reminders significantly improved timely receipt of vaccines, and sending more than two reminders was more effective than only one or two.⁷ In Ethiopia, text message reminders significantly improved complete and timely receipt of all recommended vaccines by 17 percent and 59 percent, respectively.⁸ In Ghana, voice call reminders from study staff improved coverage of timely immunization by 10.5 percentage points,⁹ and evidence from urban areas of Nigeria and Zimbabwe found that text reminders improved timely immunization completion by 8.7 to 16.3 percent, respectively.¹⁰ Mixed positive effects were found in urban Burkina Faso and semi-rural Nigeria,¹¹ and no significant impact was found in rural Kenya.¹² However, phone coverage has increased substantially since these studies were conducted. In India, text message

reminders alone had no impact, but as part of a package of interventions including local immunization ambassadors, and incentives that increased in amount with each immunization, measles vaccination increased 44 percent.¹³

Given that phone ownership and up-to-date health records for children are far from universal in LMICs, reminder interventions may only effectively reach a subset of caregivers. The poorest and hardest-to-reach caregivers, who may reside outside coverage areas, lack access to a phone, have low levels of digital literacy and have limited contact with health providers may be systematically excluded. Furthermore, child-level health records in LMICs primarily rely on paper-based systems, although digital health records are slowly growing more prevalent.¹⁴ To make reminder systems feasible at scale, it is essential to digitize health records through tools like electronic immunization records (EIRs). EIRs streamline tracking of children's vaccination schedules and help identify due dates for upcoming vaccines based on actual uptake instead of birthdate-derived schedules, reducing the time health workers spend on manual record-keeping and improving overall efficiency.



CREDITS | DOMINIC CHAVEZ/WORLD BANK •••••

2. WEARABLE REMINDERS ARE LIKELY INSUFFICIENT FOR IMPROVING CHILDHOOD IMMUNIZATION

Two randomized evaluations (RCTs) found wearable reminders — bracelets or necklaces that act as a visual cue and reminder to complete the immunization schedule — had no impact on child immunization.¹⁵ In India, pendant necklaces worn by children, and a visual reminder of immunization for caregivers, had no impact on DTP3 coverage or timeliness.¹⁶ Two different styles of reminder bracelets, one with a simple design and one with more information about the immunization schedule, were found to have no effect on DTP3 or measles

coverage and timeliness in Pakistan.¹⁷ Pilot studies in Nigeria have found promising results but should be further explored through rigorous evaluations. Amongst mobile populations with low rates of vaccination coverage in Nigeria, color-coded bracelets¹⁸ improved immunization completeness and timeliness.¹⁹ Another pilot study in Nigeria found that an electronic wristband reminder worn by caregivers increased immunization timeliness by 30 percentage points.²⁰

3. IMMUNIZATION EDUCATION MAY IMPROVE IMMUNIZATION RATES

Educational interventions aim to address gaps in knowledge or understanding about the schedule, safety, and benefits of the childhood immunization series. A 2024 review found that short-term sensitization and education campaigns—providing caregivers with information on immunization benefits, vaccination schedules, and access to services—led to a 38% increase in vaccination coverage.²¹ A 2023 systematic

review found that health education may lead to more children receiving all three doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine (DTP3) though evidence is of low certainty.²² Another 2017 systematic review found that 36 percent of educational interventions were associated with a positive effect on immunization uptake, 18 percent were mixed-positive and 45 percent showed null effect.²³

4. IMMUNIZATION EDUCATION DELIVERED THROUGH COMMUNITY SOCIAL NETWORKS AND LEADERS MAY BE ESPECIALLY EFFECTIVE IN IMPROVING IMMUNIZATION UPTAKE IN SOME CONTEXTS

In India, community members selected for their skills in relaying information acted as “ambassadors”, and shared immunization information throughout their social networks, leading to a 26 percent increase in measles vaccination.²⁴ A 2020 study engaging communities through traditional and religious leaders in Nigeria, where pockets of vaccine distrust persist,²⁵

reduced the number of unvaccinated children from 7 percent to 0.4 percent, and improved timeliness of later vaccines.²⁶ In Nigeria, training of older women, who traditionally play supervisory roles in infant care, improved infant vaccination timeliness and completion by 31 percentage points in urban communities.²⁷

5. FINANCIAL AND IN-KIND INCENTIVES MAY IMPROVE IMMUNIZATION OUTCOMES BUT RESULTS VARY BY DESIGN AND CONTEXT.

Monetary or in-kind incentives aim to reward immunization uptake or alleviate financial obstacles such as transport costs. They are often paired with other interventions, such as reminders or improved availability of vaccines. A conditional cash transfer (CCT) program in northwest Nigeria improved vaccination coverage by 16, 21, and 14 percentage points for BCG, the first dose of pentavalent, and measles respectively, compared to children in comparison clinics.²⁸ In rural Kenya, a small monetary incentive (KES 200/US\$1.82) combined with text message reminders led to increases in full immunization by 9 percentage points.²⁹ In Pakistan, small mobile cash transfers conditional on immunization (US 0.6–1.8 per immunization visit) combined with text message reminders increased full immunization coverage at 12 months, with airtime payments having a 3.4 percentage point greater impact than mobile money.³⁰

Vaccination promotion from community health volunteers and a small monetary incentive for volunteers and caregivers (GHC1/US\$0.25) led to 49.5 percentage point higher coverage of on-time vaccination in Ghana.³¹ Additional evidence is mixed: While small unconditional cash transfers (US \$1.50) in combination with text message reminders increased timely uptake of the first measles vaccine dose by 9–11 percentage points in Kenya, the magnitude of the effect was similar to text message reminders alone,

suggesting no added effect of small transfers.³² A 2017 systematic review found null results for cash transfer (including conditional and unconditional) studies on immunization, and mixed positive results for a cash transfer combined with services strengthening and community-based nutrition programming.³³ Similarly, a 2016 review³⁴ found evidence that monetary incentives have little to no effect on immunization uptake and a 2007 review³⁵ found unclear results for CCTs.

In-kind incentives have proven effective in a few high-quality studies. In Pakistan, food and medicine coupon incentives for immunization led to a two-fold increase in timely up-to-date DTP coverage.³⁶ In India, consistent availability of immunization services, combined with an in-kind incentive—1 kg of lentils per vaccine and a set of metal plates upon completion of the full schedule—led to 39 percent of children being fully immunized compared to 18 percent in villages receiving a reliable immunization intervention only, and 6 percent in the comparison villages.³⁷ A 2024 review of 12 systematic reviews found mixed effects for in-kind or financial incentives.³⁸

6. SOCIAL SIGNALS THAT ALLOW CAREGIVERS TO SHARE THEIR CHILD'S VACCINATION STATUS MAY IMPROVE TIMELY AND COMPLETE IMMUNIZATION

Distinct from monetary and in-kind incentives are social rewards for immunization designed to simultaneously signal timely receipt of immunization and leverage and reinforce social norms in favor of immunization. The promise of social signaling lies in leveraging and amplifying existing community norms in favor of vaccination through low-cost social signals. When caregivers are able to visibly “signal” their child's vaccination status, other caregivers may be prompted to vaccinate their children so they can demonstrate that they are responsible caregivers. There has only been one rigorous evaluation on social signaling in

immunization, which produced promising evidence. In Sierra Leone, colored bracelets were used to signal that a child had initiated vaccination, progressed in the schedule, or completed all first-year vaccinations on time. All three approaches led to a significant increase in the number of vaccines a child received by age one, but only the completion-signaling bracelet led to a significant increase in the share of children that had completed all required vaccinations on time.³⁹ Bracelets signaling completed first-year vaccinations increased timely and complete vaccination by 13 percentage points.

Implications for Practice

This review examined interventions that target caregiver immunization-seeking behavior. These demand-side interventions are only advisable if low acceptance and/or uptake despite available vaccine supplies and services are the primary obstacle to complete and timely childhood vaccination coverage. If supply chain or service quality issues are at the root of low vaccination coverage, stimulating demand is unlikely to move the needle on immunization completion, and may even have detrimental consequences to future demand and uptake. While this evidence can be considered relevant across a wide range of populations and settings, local research to identify the context-specific determinants of vaccination will inform targeted uptake interventions, and the subsequent impact.

For the purpose of this review, we focused on WHO-recommended vaccines for children under two years of age and therefore did not include evidence around the HPV vaccine, which is recommended for girls starting at age nine. As of July 2024, 10 countries in Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, Sierra Leone and South Sudan) offer malaria vaccines as part of their childhood immunization programmes, and at least 30 countries plan to introduce the vaccine.⁴⁰ The malaria vaccine is administered in four doses⁴¹ and increases the number of visits—in some cases doubling the number—needed for a child to complete the full immunization schedule. The additional visits will likely be a challenge but evidence on improving uptake is not yet available.

Cost Effectiveness

Evaluating the cost relative to the benefits of different interventions allows decision-makers to determine the best use of limited resources. The economic evaluations conducted in the studies included in this review varied in both their methods and outcomes, resulting in evidence that is not directly comparable. Additionally, differences in cost-effectiveness values might stem from the specific methodologies or study characteristics rather than the inherent properties of the interventions themselves. Factors such as the outcomes used for cost-effectiveness calculations, the baseline prevalence of adverse outcomes in the study setting, the distribution coverage, and the delivery platform can all influence these values. The available economic evidence for demand-side approaches to increasing child immunization have been captured in a table available [here](#).

Path-to-Scale Research Team:

path2scale@poverty-action.org

<https://poverty-action.org/path-to-scale-research>

Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) is a research and policy nonprofit that discovers and promotes effective solutions to global poverty problems. IPA designs, rigorously evaluates, and refines these solutions and their applications together with researchers and local decision-makers, ensuring that evidence is used to improve the lives of the world's poor. Our well-established partnerships in the countries where we work, and a strong understanding of local contexts, enable us to conduct high-quality research. This research has informed hundreds of successful programs that now impact millions of individuals worldwide.



Writers:

Savanna Henderson
Shana S. Warren

Editors: Ana Tamayo

Design: SUB

References

1. Starting at birth, children require six routine vaccination visits by the age of 18 months for protection from ten diseases: tuberculosis, Hepatitis B, polio, diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, Haemophilus Influenzae type B, pneumococcal disease, rotavirus, and measles, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). In countries where the malaria vaccine has been introduced, children require four to five additional visits. The WHO also recommends the HPV vaccine for females starting at age nine.
2. WHO. 2020. Vaccines and immunization. https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.who.int/health-topics/vaccines-and-immunization%23tab%3Dtab_1&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1616594335129000&usg=AOvVaw1IW2s2h_PxOhyoZwzXyGpm
3. Oyo-Ita, Angela, Charles S Wiysonge, Chioma Oringanje, Chukwuemeka E Nwachukwu, Olabisi Oduwole, and Martin M Meremikwu. 2016. "Interventions for Improving Coverage of Childhood Immunisation in Low- and Middle-Income Countries." Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
4. In 2023, only 84% of children received three doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP3) vaccine while 21 million children remained either unvaccinated or under-vaccinated ([WHO Immunization Coverage](#)).
5. Less-connected populations refers to those who may reside outside of phone network coverage areas, lack access to a phone and resources to charge a phone and have low levels of digital literacy.
6. Zarekar, Mohini, Hussein Al-Shehabi, Rita Dörner, Heide Weishaar, Tessa Lennemann, Charbel El Bcheraoui, and Andrea Bernasconi. "The impact of information and communication technology on immunisation and immunisation programmes in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *EBioMedicine* 111 (2025).
7. Eze, Paul, Lucky Osaheni Lawani, and Yubraj Acharya. "Short message service (SMS) reminders for childhood immunisation in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *BMJ Global Health* 6, no. 7 (2021): e005035.
8. Mekonnen ZA, Gelaye KA, Were M, Tilahun B. Effect of mobile phone text message reminders on the completion and timely receipt of routine childhood vaccinations: superiority randomized controlled trial in Northwest Ethiopia. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 2021;9(6):e27603.
9. Levine, Gillian, Amadu Salifu, Issah Mohammed and Günther Fink. 2021. "Mobile Nudges and Financial Incentives to Improve Coverage of Timely Neonatal Vaccination in Rural Areas (GEVaP trial): A 3-armed Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial in Northern Ghana." *PLoS ONE* 16(5): e0247485. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247485>
10. Bangure, Donewell, Daniel Chirundu, Notion Gombe, Tawanda Marufu, Gibson Mandozana, Mufuta Tshimanga, and Lucia Takundwa. 2015. "Effectiveness of Short Message Services Reminder on Childhood Immunization Programme in Kadoma, Zimbabwe-A Randomized Controlled Trial, 2013." *BMC Public Health* 15, no. 1: 137.
Eze, G. U., and O. O. Adeleye. 2015. "Enhancing Routine Immunization Performance using Innovative Technology in an Urban Area of Nigeria." *West African Journal of Medicine* 34, no. 1: 3-10.
11. Schlumberger, M., A. Bamoko, T. M. Yameogo, F. Rouvet, R. Ouedraogo, B. Traore, M. Tinto, J. F. Bakyono, I. Sombie, B. B. Bazié, S. Ganama, Y. Savadogo, and G. A. Yelkoumi. 2015. "Positive Impact on the Expanded Program on Immunization when Sending Call-back SMS through a Computerized Immunization Register, Bobo Dioulasso (Burkina Faso)." *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique*, 108, no. 5: 349-354.
Ekhuagere, Osayame A., Rosena O. Oluwafemi, Bolaji Badejoko, Lawal O. Oyenyin, Azeez Butali, Elizabeth D. Lowenthal, and Andrew P. Steenhoff. 2019. "Automated phone call and text reminders for childhood immunisations (PRIMM): a randomised controlled trial in Nigeria." *BMJ global health* 4, no. 2: e001232.
12. Gibson, Dustin G., Benard Ochieng, E. Wangeci Kagucia, Joyce Were, Kyla Hayford, Lawrence H. Moulton, Orin S. Levine, Frank Odhiambo, Katherine L. O'Brien, and Daniel R. Feikin. 2017. "Mobile Phone-delivered Reminders and Incentives to Improve Childhood Immunisation Coverage and Timeliness in Kenya (M-SIMU): A Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial." *The Lancet Global Health* 5, no. 4 : e428-e438.
13. Banerjee, Abhijit, Arun G. Chandrasekhar, Suresh Dalpath, Esther Duflo, John Floretta, Matthew O. Jackson, Harini Kannan, Francine N. Loza, Anirudh Sankar, Anna Schrimpf, and Maheshwor Shrestha. 2021. "Selecting the Most Effective Nudge: Evidence from a Large-Scale Experiment on Immunization." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series. Working Paper 28726.
14. PATH. Digital Square Electronic Immunization Registries in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Seattle: PATH; 2021.
15. Oyo-Ita, Angela, Olabisi Oduwole, Dachi Arikpo, Emmanuel E. Effa, Ekpereonne B. Esu, Yusenhta Balakrishna, Moriam T. Chibuzor et al. "Interventions for improving coverage of childhood immunisation in low-and middle-income countries." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 12 (2023).
16. Nagar, Ruchit, Preethi Venkat, Logan D. Stone, Kyle A. Engel, Praneeth Sadda, and Mohammed Shahnawaz. "A cluster randomized trial to determine the effectiveness of a novel, digital pendant and voice reminder platform on increasing infant immunization adherence in rural Udaipur, India." *Vaccine* 36, no. 44 (2018): 6567-6577.
17. Siddiqi DA, Ali RF, Munir M, Shah MT, Khan AJ, Chandir S. Effect of vaccine reminder and tracker bracelets on routine childhood immunization coverage and timeliness in urban Pakistan (2017-18): a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20(1):1086.
18. The five individually colored bracelets are attached to form a single bracelet and upon each vaccination, the corresponding bracelet was cut off.
19. Yau, Inuwa Barau, Mahmud Zubair Mustapha, Eric Nwaze, Ouedraogo Nobila, Aliyu Maigoro, Adamu Abdullah, Adamu Gamawa, Peter Meissner, Jahn Albrecht, and Olaf Müller. "Improving the timeliness and completeness of childhood vaccination through color-coded bracelets: a pilot study among Fulani tribe populations in Nigeria." *Journal of Public Health in Africa* 14, no. 5 (2023).
20. Sampson, Sidney, Adebisi Adenipekun, Sunday Atobatele, Oluwafisayo Ayodeji, Oluomachukwu Omeje, and Folake Oni. "An assessment of the effectiveness of an electronic wristband in improving routine immunization timeliness and reducing drop-out." *Journal of Public Health* 45, no. 4 (2023): 947-956.
21. Jain, Monica, Maren Duvendack, Shannon Shisler, Shradha S. Parsekar, and Maria Daniela Anda Leon. "Effective interventions for improving routine childhood immunisation in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review of systematic reviews." *BMJ open* 14, no. 2 (2024): e074370.
22. Oyo-Ita A, Oduwole O, Arikpo D, Ea EE, Esu EB, Balakrishna Y, Chibuzor MT, Oringanje CM, Nwachukwu CE, Wiysonge

- CS, Meremikwu MM. Interventions for improving coverage of childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2023, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD008145. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008145.pub4.
23. Bright, Tess, Lambert Felix, Hannah Kuper, and Sarah Polack. 2017. "A Systematic Review of Strategies to Increase Access to Health Services among Children in Low and Middle Income Countries." *BMC Health Services Research* 17, no. 1: 252.
Note: *These numbers were calculated based on only demand-side educational interventions that included immunization as an outcome, available in the additional file: summary of results of included studies. Of these, four of the 11 had positive effect, two were mixed-positive and five showed null effect.*
 24. Banerjee, Abhijit, Arun G. Chandrasekhar, Suresh Dalpath, Esther Duflo, John Floretta, Matthew O. Jackson, Harini Kannan, Francine N. Loza, Anirudh Sankar, Anna Schrimpf, and Maheshwor Shrestha. 2021. "Selecting the Most Effective Nudge: Evidence from a Large-Scale Experiment on Immunization." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series. Working Paper 28726.
 25. Jegede, Ayodele Samuel. 2007. "What Led to the Nigerian Boycott of the Polio Vaccination Campaign?" *PLoS Med* 4, no. 3: e73.
 26. Oyo-Ita, Angela, Xavier Bosch-Capblanch, Amanda Ross, Patrick Hanlon, Afiong Oku, Ekperonne Esu, Soter Ameh, Bisi Oduwale, Dachi Arikpo, and Martin Meremikwu. 2020. "Impacts of Engaging Communities through Traditional and Religious Leaders on Vaccination Coverage in Cross River State, Nigeria." 3ie Grantee Final Report. New Delhi: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie).
 27. Balogun, Folusho Mubowale, Eniola Adetola Bamgboye, and Abimbola Ellen Akindolire. "Improving timeliness and completion of infant vaccination among infants in Nigerian urban slums through older women's participation." *Frontiers in Public Health* 10 (2022): 898636.
 28. IDInsight. 2020. "Impact of Conditional Cash Transfers on Routine Childhood Immunizations in North west Nigeria." Final Report.
 29. Gibson, Dustin G., Benard Ochieng, E. Wangeci Kagucia, Joyce Were, Kyla Hayford, Lawrence H. Moulton, Orin S. Levine, Frank Odhiambo, Katherine L. O'Brien, and Daniel R. Feikin. 2017. "Mobile Phone-delivered Reminders and Incentives to Improve Childhood Immunisation Coverage and Timeliness in Kenya (M-SIMU): A Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial." *The Lancet Global Health* 5, no. 4: e428-e438.
 30. Chandir S, Siddiqi DA, Abdullah S, Duflo E, Khan AJ, Glennerster R. Small mobile conditional cash transfers (mCCTs) of different amounts, schedules and design to improve routine childhood immunization coverage and timeliness of children aged 0-23 months in Pakistan: an open label multi-arm randomized controlled trial. *EClinicalMedicine* 2022;50:101500. [DOI: 10.1016/j.eclim.2022.101500]
 31. Levine, Gillian, Amadu Salifu, Issah Mohammed and Günther Fink. 2021. "Mobile Nudges and Financial Incentives to Improve Coverage of Timely Neonatal Vaccination in Rural Areas (GEVaP trial): A 3-armed Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial in Northern Ghana." In press: PLOS One.
 32. Kagucia, E. Wangeci, Benard Ochieng, Joyce Were, Kyla Hayford, David Obor, Katherine L. O'Brien, and Dustin G. Gibson. "Impact of mobile phone delivered reminders and unconditional incentives on measles-containing vaccine timeliness and coverage: a randomised controlled trial in western Kenya." *BMJ global health* 6, no. 1 (2021): e003357.
 33. Bright, Tess, Lambert Felix, Hannah Kuper, and Sarah Polack. 2017. "A Systematic Review of Strategies to Increase Access to Health Services among Children in Low and Middle Income Countries." *BMC Health Services Research* 17, no. 1: 252.
 34. Oyo-Ita, Angela, Charles S. Wiysonge, Chioma Oringanje, Chukwuemeka E. Nwachukwu, Olabisi Oduwale, and Martin M. Meremikwu. 2016. "Interventions for Improving Coverage of Childhood Immunisation in Low- and Middle-Income Countries." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
 35. Lagarde, Mylene, Andy Haines, and Natasha Palmer. 2007. "Conditional Cash Transfers for Improving Uptake of Health Interventions in Low- and Middle-income Countries: A Systematic Review." *Jama* 298, no. 16: 1900-1910.
 36. Chandir, S., A. J. Khan, H. Hussain, H. R. Usman, S. Khowaja, Neal A. Halsey, and S. B. Omer. 2010. "Effect of Food Coupon Incentives on Timely Completion of DTP Immunization Series in Children from a Low-income Area in Karachi, Pakistan: A Longitudinal Intervention Study." *Vaccine* 28, no. 19: 3473-3478.
 37. Banerjee, Abhijit Vinayak, Esther Duflo, Rachel Glennerster, and Dhruva Kothari. 2010. "Improving immunisation coverage in rural India: clustered randomised controlled evaluation of immunisation campaigns with and without incentives." *BMJ* 340: c2220
 38. Jain, Monica, Maren Duvendack, Shannon Shisler, Shradha S. Parsekar, and Maria Daniela Anda Leon. "Effective interventions for improving routine childhood immunisation in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review of systematic reviews." *BMJ open* 14, no. 2 (2024): e074370.
 39. Based on the immunization schedule in 2016. Karing, Anne. "Social Signaling and Childhood Immunization: A Field Experiment in Sierra Leone." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 139, no. 4, 2024, pp. 2083-133, <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjae025>.
 40. WHO. July 2024. Malaria vaccines (RTS,S and R21). <https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/q-a-on-rt-s-malaria-vaccine>
 41. Five in areas where there is a significant malaria risk remaining in children a year after receiving dose 4