

Authors

Lee Crawfurd

Researchers

Dean Karlan Northwestern University

Bram Thuysbaert Dutch Development Bank

Christopher Udry Northwestern University

Evaluating the Millennium Development Villages

Gabriel Demombynes and Michael Clemens <u>published a paper</u> recently lamenting the lack of a rigorous (randomized) evaluation of the Millennium Development Villages Project.

Whilst we can't [yet?] give you that, we can give you something pretty similar.

IPA is working with a nonprofit *The Hunger Project* to evaluate their "EpiCenter" strategy – building community centers in villages to provide a holistic set of services in a sustainable manner.

The key features of the Millenium Villages Project model are:

- Evidence-based interventions covering multiple sectors,
- Participatory community decision-making,
- Environmental sustainability,
- Scale-up and focus on the Millenium Development Goals.

The key features of The Hunger Project model are:

- Evidence-based interventions covering multiple sectors,
- Participatory community decision-making,
- Environmental sustainability,
- Scale-up and focus on the Millenium Development Goals.

Yes, those 2 lists are identical.

There are differences between the projects, but they are similar enough to demonstrate that



randomized evaluation can work for this kind of project.

Rigorous evaluation has a growing profile, but it is still sufficiently rare in the aid world that those organizations that are brave enough to submit their activities to proper impact evaluation deserve our recognition and admiration.

The Hunger Project - we salute you!

The project is still underway, but watch this space for the eventual results! For more on their project see here, and for more on the Millennium Villages controversy, see here.

November 30, 2010