

Authors

Sylvan Herskowitz
World Bank

American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 2021, 13(1): 72-104
<https://doi.org/10.3386/w28180>

Gambling, Saving, and Lumpy Liquidity Needs

By SYLVAN HERSKOWITZ

I present evidence that unmet liquidity needs for indivisible, "lumpy," expenditures increase demand for betting as a second-best method of liquidity generation in the presence of financial constraints. With a sample of 1,708 sports bettors in Kampala, Uganda, I show that participants' targeted payouts are linked to anticipated expenditures, while winnings increase lumpy expenditures disproportionately. I show that a randomized savings treatment decreases demand for betting. And I use two lab-in-the-field experiments to show that unmet liquidity needs and saving ability are important mechanisms. These results cannot be explained by betting as a purely normal good. (JEL C93, D81, G51, L83, O12, O16)

Gambling has been popular for millennia (Schwartz 2013). Today, it is a global industry with revenues estimated at nearly half a trillion dollars. Over the past decade, sports betting has emerged as one of the fastest growing forms of gambling, itself frequently valued over a hundred billion dollars. While the world's largest markets have historically been in major developed countries such as the United Kingdom, Japan, Australia, and China, new technologies have enabled international companies to enter previously untouched markets, with growth fastest across the developing world and, in particular, throughout Africa. While many view gambling as a valuable source of entertainment and tax revenues, critics raise concerns about potential harms from gambling, including increased crime, indebtedness, and

*Markets, Trade, and Institutions Division, International Food Policy Research Institute (small.s Herskowitz@cgiar.org). Benjamin Olan was coeditor for this article. I am deeply grateful to Jimmy Maganda and Elizabeth Sedwidi. I would also like to thank Michael Anderson, Pierre Baillon, Patrick Baylis, Peter Borch, Laurent Boppo, Josh Brann, Fivos Buty, Alvaro de Jesus, Akhona Dzedze, Seth Gera, Tereq Ghani, Marika Klerman, Kim Lee, David Levine, Ethan Lipson, Sprague Mathias, Craig McIsaac, Scott Mordak, Leo Simon, Chao Eby, Liam Worslevt, and Brian Yano for their feedback and contributions. I would also like to thank Antoine Gullbin, Jackline Namuh, Aron Nandorwa, and Innovations for Poverty Action for excellent work implementing the study. This project would not have been possible without generous funding support from a Doctoral Dissertation Research Grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF 1508032), the Center for Effective Global Action's East Africa Social Science Transduction Collaborative, the Bruca Center at UC Berkeley, the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association, and the Institute for Money, Technology, and Financial Inclusion at UC Irvine. The project had IRB approval from the University of California's Institutional Review Board 2014-09-0047. The original study design was registered at the Social Science Registry: A0-RECTR-0000055. All errors are my own. The most recent version and online appendix can be found at www.sylvanherkowitz.com/betting.html.
†Go to <https://doi.org/10.3386/w28180> to visit the article page for additional materials and author disclosure statement(s) or to comment in the online discussion forum.
‡<https://www.stata.com/stata/2014/10/globaleconomic/gambling-in-market-growth-why/>
§<https://www.stata.com/topics/17406/poverty-betting/>
||<http://www.bbc.com/news/footbal-14354124>
¶For background on global betting expansion, see industry reports from BC Gambling Capital (2015), *Microfinance Observer* (2014), and Moyo (2009).

Gambling, Saving, and Lumpy Liquidity Needs

I present evidence that unmet liquidity needs for indivisible, "lumpy," expenditures increase demand for betting as a second-best method of liquidity generation in the presence of financial constraints. With a sample of 1,708 sports bettors in Kampala, Uganda, I show that participants' targeted payouts are linked to anticipated expenditures, while winnings increase lumpy expenditures disproportionately. I show that a randomized savings treatment decreases demand for betting. And I use two lab-in-the-field experiments to show that unmet liquidity needs and saving ability are important mechanisms. These results cannot be explained by betting as a purely normal good.

January 10, 2021