

## Authors

Abhijit Banerjee  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Michael Faye  
GiveDirectly

Alan Krueger  
Princeton University

Paul Niehaus  
University of California, San Diego

Tavneet Suri  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## Effects of a Universal Basic Income during the pandemic\*

Abhijit Banerjee<sup>1</sup>

Michael Faye<sup>2</sup>

Alan Krueger<sup>3</sup>

Paul Niehaus<sup>4</sup>

Tavneet Suri<sup>1,2</sup>

September 2, 2020

### Abstract

We examine some effects of Universal Basic Income (UBI) during the COVID-19 pandemic using a large-scale experiment in rural Kenya. Transfers significantly improved well-being on common measures such as hunger, sickness and depression in spite of the pandemic, but with modest effect sizes. They may have had public health benefits, as they reduced hospital visits and decreased social (but not commercial) interactions that influence contagion rates. During the pandemic (and contemporaneous agricultural lean season) recipients lost the income gains from starting new non-agricultural enterprises that they had initially obtained, but also suffered smaller increases in hunger. This pattern is consistent with the idea that UBI induced recipients to take on more income risk in part by mitigating the most harmful consequences of adverse shocks.

\*We are indebted to Charles Amukiza, Solomon Amon, Shreya Chandra, Gabriella Fietzner, Pwaka Jiri, Eunice Kioko, Simon Lwanga, Boniface Mwangi, Simon Robertson, Moses Simons, Nikita Sharma, Deborah Wambui and a field team of over 300 people for their tireless efforts to help bring this paper into existence. We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and an anonymous donor. We thank seminar audiences at the NBER Summer Institute and VDED for their feedback. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals for the data collection were obtained from MIT and Maseno University in Kenya, Princeton University, the University of California San Diego and Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) to MIT. Author contributions: Faye led conceptualization supported by Banerjee, Krueger, Niehaus and Suri; all contributed equally to methodology; Niehaus and Suri led formal analysis; Suri led investigation supported by Niehaus; Niehaus led writing supported by Banerjee, Faye and Suri; Suri led visualization; Suri led supervision supported by Niehaus; Suri led project administration; Niehaus and Suri led research funding acquisition; GiveDirectly led by Faye raised funding for the transfers. Niehaus declares that he serves without compensation as a director of GiveDirectly. Suri is the corresponding author: 862-524, 100 Main Street, Cambridge MA 02142. Email: [suri@mit.edu](mailto:suri@mit.edu).

<sup>1</sup>MIT

<sup>2</sup>Give Directly

<sup>3</sup>Princeton

<sup>4</sup>University of California, San Diego

<sup>5</sup>MIT Sloan

# Effects of a Universal Basic Income During the Pandemic

We examine some effects of Universal Basic Income (UBI) during the COVID-19 pandemic using a large-scale experiment in rural Kenya. Transfers significantly improved well-being on common measures such as hunger, sickness and depression in spite of the pandemic, but with modest effect sizes. They may have had public health benefits, as they reduced hospital

visits and decreased social (but not commercial) interactions that influence contagion rates. During the pandemic (and contemporaneous agricultural lean season) recipients lost the income gains from starting new non-agricultural enterprises that they had initially obtained, but also suffered smaller increases in hunger. This pattern is consistent with the idea that UBI induced recipients to take on more income risk in part by mitigating the most harmful consequences of adverse shocks.

September 02, 2020