

Authors

Simone Schaner
University of Southern California

American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 2018, 10(3): 67-100
<https://doi.org/10.3386/w24753>

The Persistent Power of Behavioral Change: Long-Run Impacts of Temporary Savings Subsidies for the Poor

By SIMONE SCHANER

I use a field experiment in rural Kenya to study how temporary incentives to save impact long-run economic outcomes. Study participants randomly selected to receive large temporary interest rates on an individual bank account had significantly more income and assets 2.5–3.5 years after the interest rates expired. These changes are much larger than the short-run impacts on experimental bank account use and almost entirely driven by growth in entrepreneurship. In contrast, interest rates on joint accounts and modest cash payments did not significantly impact long-run economic outcomes. (JEL C93, D13, D14, D90, G21, I32, O12)

Despite recent progress, approximately 700 million people still live in extreme poverty (Cruz et al. 2015). As such, understanding how to help poor families grow their incomes remains a top policy priority. At least some individuals in the developing world seem to have the potential to do this on their own: recent studies have found very large, on the order of 5–30 percent per month, marginal returns to capital among microenterprises in contexts as varied as Sri Lanka, Ghana, India, Mexico, and Uganda.¹ Other researchers have documented individuals regularly revolving debt at interest rates as high as 10 percent per day (Alem 1990; Ananth, Karlan, and Mullainathan 2007; Banerjee and Duflo 2007), while Schotfield (2014) finds a 75–225 percent (financial) return to caloric

¹Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California, Dartmouth College, 635 Downey Way, Los Angeles, CA 90089, IPAL, SORR, and BREAD (email: schaner@usc.edu). I thank Jerina Field, Dean Karlan, Steve P.P. Lattimore, Robert Poodt, Joshua Schwartzstein, Jonathan Zinman, and seminar participants at USC, UC Berkeley, Boston University, Brown University, DPKS, Notre Dame, Tufts University, UC San Diego, and the University of Missouri for numerous useful comments. This project would not have been possible without the tireless assistance, hard work, and commitment of many employees of Family Bank. I am particularly indebted to Victor Kariuki Mwangi, Steve Marata, and Michael Aswani Wani. I also thank James Vesteri, Robert Mahana, and Thomas Gion for superb field management and the IPAL field officers for their excellent assistance with the data collection. I gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Russell Sage Foundation, the George and Otha Shuler Fund, MIT's James Poverty Action Lab, the National Science Foundation's Graduate Research Fellowship, and the Yale Savings and Payments Research Fund at Innovations for Poverty Action, sponsored by a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Research activities were approved by Institutional Review Boards at MIT, the Kenya Medical Research Institute, Innovations for Poverty Action Kenya, and Dartmouth College. This RCT was registered in the American Economic Association Registry for randomized control trials under trial number AEARCTR-0001358. All errors are my own.

²Go to <https://doi.org/10.3386/w24753> to view the article page for additional materials and author disclosure statement(s) or to comment in the online discussion forum.

³For Sri Lanka, see de Mel, McKenzie, and Woodhull (2008, 2012); for Ghana see Udry and Anagol (2006) and Fudango et al. (2014); for India see Banerjee and Duflo (2014) and Field et al. (2013); for Mexico see McKenzie and Woodhull (2008); for Uganda see Bhattarai, Fata, and Martinez (2014).

The Persistent Power of Behavioral Change: Long-Run Impacts of Temporary Savings Subsidies for the Poor

I use a field experiment in rural Kenya to study how temporary incentives to save impact long-run economic outcomes. Study participants randomly selected to receive large temporary interest rates on an individual bank account had significantly more income and assets 2.5–3.5 years after the interest rates expired. These changes are much larger than the short-run impacts on experimental bank account use and almost entirely driven by growth in entrepreneurship. In contrast, interest rates on joint accounts and modest cash payments did not significantly impact long-run economic outcomes.

July 01, 2018