

Authors

Bruno Crépon
Centre de Recherche en Economie et Statistique (CREST)

Esther Dufo
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

William Parienté
Université Catholique de Louvain

American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 2015, 7(1): 123-150
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/app.2014.0015>

Estimating the Impact of Microcredit on Those Who Take It Up: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment in Morocco

By BRUNO CRÉPON, FLORENCIA DUYO, ESTHER DUFO,
AND WILLIAM PARIENTÉ

We report results from a randomized evaluation of a microcredit program introduced in rural areas of Morocco in 2006. Thirteen percent of the households in treatment villages took a loan, and none in control villages did. Among households identified as more likely to borrow, microcredit access led to a significant rise in investment in assets used for self-employment activities, and an increase in profit, but also to a reduction in income from casual labor. Overall there was no gain in income or consumption. We find suggestive evidence that these results are mainly driven by effects on borrowers, rather than by externalities. (JEL D14, G21, J23, O12, O16, O18)

Several recent randomized evaluations in different countries and contexts have found that granting communities access to microcredit has positive impacts on investment in self-employed activities, but no significant impact on overall consumption—or on overall income, when that is measured (Attanasio et al. 2011; Augsburg et al. 2013; Banerjee et al. 2013; Angelucci, Karlan, and Zinman 2013; Desai, Johnson, and Tuzuzi 2013). A plausible interpretation of these findings is that the small businesses that the households gaining access to microcredit invest in have low marginal product of capital. Consistent with this hypothesis, these studies often find no significant impact of microcredit access on business profits or income from self-employment activities on average, although several do find an impact on profits for preexisting businesses or for businesses at the top end of the

¹Crépon: CREST, 15 Bd G. Perleux 92440 Malmaison, France, and I-PAL (crepon@crest.fr); Duflo: Paris School of Economics, 48 Boulevard Jourdan, 75014 Paris, France (duflo@psce.fr); Duflo: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Economics, 50 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA, and I-PAL (eduflo@mit.edu); Parienté: IRES, Université Catholique de Louvain, Place Croix du Sud 3, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, and I-PAL (william.pariente@uclouvain.be). Funding for this study was provided by the Agence Française de Développement (AFD), the International Growth Center (IGC), and the World Leif J. Larson Poverty Action Lab (I-PAL). We thank, without implicating, these three institutions for their support. The draft was not reviewed by the AFD, IGC, or I-PAL, before submission and only represents the views of the authors. The study received IRB approval from MIT, COUROS 000001706. This paper is registered in AEA Social Science Registry under number A0ARCT0-0000771. We thank Abhijeet Banerjee and Ben Olken for comments. We thank Aurélie Ours, Eliza Duflo, and Sébastien Naudon for tremendous research assistance, and seminar and conference participants for very useful comments. We also thank Yous Mounir for their effort in conducting the survey and the French National Institute of Statistics (INSEE) for their previous help with data entry. We are deeply indebted to the whole team of AFD teams without whom this evaluation would not have been possible, in particular to Fouad Abdelmonem, Zakia Lakoui and Fatim-Zahra Zaim.

²Go to <http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/app.2014.0015> to visit the article page for additional materials and author disclosure statement(s) or to comment in the online discussion forum.

Estimating the Impact of Microcredit on Those Who Take It Up: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment in Morocco

We report results from a randomized evaluation of a microcredit program introduced in rural areas of Morocco in 2006. Thirteen percent of the households in treatment villages took a loan, and none in control villages did. Among households identified as more likely to borrow, microcredit access led to a significant rise in investment in assets used for self-employment activities, and an increase in profit, but also to a reduction in income from casual labor. Overall there was no gain in income or consumption. We find suggestive evidence that these results are mainly driven by effects on borrowers, rather than by externalities.

January 01, 2015