

Authors

Alaka Holla
World Bank

Michael Kremer
The University of Chicago

**Pricing and Access: Lessons from Randomized
Evaluations in Education and Health¹**

Michael Kremer
Harvard University

Alaka Holla
Innovations for Poverty Action

August 3, 2008

Abstract

This paper surveys evidence from recent randomized evaluations in developing countries on the impact of price on access to health and education. Debate on user fees has been contentious, but until recently much of the evidence was anecdotal. Randomized evaluations across a variety of settings suggest prices have a large impact on take-up of education and health products and services. While the sign of this effect is consistent with standard theories of human capital investment, a more detailed examination of the data suggests that it may be important to go beyond these models. There is some evidence for peer effects, which imply that for some goods the aggregate response to price will exceed the individual response. Time inconsistent preferences could potentially help explain the apparently disproportionate effect of small short-run costs and benefits on decisions with long-run consequences.

¹ We thank Rachel Glennerster, Paul Rosenz, David Weil, and participants in the Brookings Conference *What Works in Development: Thinking Big and Thinking Small* for many useful comments and suggestions.

Pricing and Access: Lessons from Randomized Evaluation in Education and Health

This paper surveys evidence from recent randomized evaluations in developing countries on the impact of price on access to health and education. Debate on user fees has been contentious, but until recently much of the evidence was anecdotal. Randomized evaluations across a variety of settings suggest prices have a large impact on take-up of education and health products and services. While the sign of this effect is consistent with standard theories of human capital investment, a more detailed examination of the data suggests that it may be important to go beyond these models. There is some evidence for peer effects, which

imply that for some goods the aggregate response to price will exceed the individual response. Time inconsistent preferences could potentially help explain the apparently disproportionate effect of small short-run costs and benefits on decisions with long-run consequences.

August 01, 2008