

Authors

Alberto Chong Georgia State University

Dean Karlan Northwestern University

Jeremy Shapiro
Busara Center for Behavioral Economics

Jonathan Zinman Dartmouth College

> (Ineffective) Messages to Encourage Recycling: Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation in Peru

Alberto Chong, Dean Karlan, Jeremy Shapiro, and Jonathan Zinman'

May 2013

Abstract: There is growing interest in using messaging to drive pro-social behaviors, which contribute to investment in public goods. We worked with a leading NGO in Peru to randomize nine different pro-recycling messages that were crafted based on best practice, prior evidence, and theories of behavioral change. Different variants emphasized information on environmental or social benefits, social comparisons, social sanctions, authority, and/or reminders. None of the messages had significant effects on recycling behavior. However, reducing the cost of ongoing participation—by providing a recycling bin—significantly increased recycling among enrolled households.

Keywords: Behavioral Economics, Recycling, Peru, Field Experiment, Social Messaging JEL Codes: D03, Q53, N56, C93

ı

Tried and True? The Contextual Specificity of "Proven" Approaches to Behavioral Change

There is growing interest in using messaging to drive pro-social behaviors, which contribute to investment in public goods. We worked with a leading NGO in Peru to randomize nine different prorecycling messages that were crafted based on best practice, prior evidence, and theories of behavioral change. Different variants emphasized information on environmental

[&]quot;Chong (Gerosponding Author) ashong/nectures, g, School of International Development and Global Studies and Exportment of Economics, Valve University of Ottuva; Karlan dom kelnell valve olg. Department of Economics, Yalve University and Internations for Powerty Action, Checkberry, Zamma ja January Lawrench and Department of Economics, Dartmough Critique and Internations for Powerty Action. We would like to Bank three assorptions refores and the oliment, Elizabeth Nadouket and Alain of January. For construction and gagnetisms, Thanks to Laura Livine, Karlik Alikowana, Elans Sufran, Rachel Swohn, Beniamio Savonitos, Doughyuk Kim and Glynis Startz for their outnotneding research somitance and project management. Thanks to Disans Gill Rantiers, Janua Manuel Hennicott. Agramment, Miguel Pacodes and the tours of linearcations for Poverty Action for the exact lent support during field work.
Finally, a special thanks to PRESMA for their collaboration in the study. The standard disclaimer applies. A supplime and appearant to this article is available at Inguishers artifolialysmass, or artifolialysmass, or artifolialysmass, or artifolialysmass, to a supplime and appearant to this article is available at Inguishers artifolialysmass.



or social benefits, social comparisons, social sanctions, authority, and/or reminders. None of the messages had significant effects on recycling behavior. However, reducing the cost of ongoing participation—by providing a recycling bin—significantly increased recycling among enrolled households.

May 01, 2013